Forward Looking Statements

This presentation contains forward looking statements, including these, within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended and Section 21E of the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward looking statements are not guarantees of performance. They involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. The future results and securities values of Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. and Kinder Morgan Management, LLC (collectively known as “KMP”) may differ materially from those expressed in the forward-looking statements contained throughout this presentation and in documents filed with the SEC. Many of the factors that will determine these results and values are beyond Kinder Morgan's ability to control or predict. These statements are necessarily based upon various assumptions involving judgments with respect to the future, including, among others, the ability to achieve synergies and revenue growth; national, international, regional and local economic, competitive and regulatory conditions and developments; technological developments; capital markets conditions; inflation rates; interest rates; the political and economic stability of oil producing nations; energy markets; weather conditions; environmental conditions; business and regulatory or legal decisions; the pace of deregulation of retail natural gas and electricity and certain agricultural products; the timing and success of business development efforts; terrorism; and other uncertainties. You are cautioned not to put undue reliance on any forward-looking statement.
Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

This presentation utilizes the non-generally accepted accounting principles (non-GAAP) financial measures of distributable cash flow (DCF), EBITDA, and segment earnings before depletion, depreciation and amortization (DD&A).

For KMP overall, we define distributable cash flow (DCF) to be pretax income plus DD&A less cash taxes paid and sustaining capital expenditures (capex) for KMP, plus KMP’s portion of the DD&A less sustaining capex of Rockies Express, our equity method investee. For our segments we define DCF as segment net income (which is before corporate costs of G&A and interest) plus DD&A less sustaining capex. The components of the difference between overall KMP DCF and segment DCF are cash versus book taxes, DD&A and sustaining capex on Rockies Express, G&A, interest, minority interest and the general partner’s interest. We define EBITDA as pre-tax income plus DD&A and interest expense. We define segment earnings before DD&A as segment earnings plus DD&A and amortization of excess cost of equity investments. The amounts included in the calculation of these measures are computed in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), with the exception of "sustaining capital expenditures" (as it relates DCF) which is not a defined term under GAAP. Consistent with the partnership agreement of Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P., sustaining or maintenance capex are defined as capital expenditures (as defined by GAAP) which do not increase the capacity of an asset.

We routinely calculate and communicate these measures to investors. We believe that continuing to provide this information results in consistency in our financial reporting. In addition, we believe that these measures are useful to investors because they enhance the investors’ overall understanding of our current financial performance and our prospects for future performance. Specifically, we believe that these measures provide investors an enhanced perspective on the operating performance of our assets and the cash that our businesses are generating. Notwithstanding, these non-GAAP financial measures are not a replacement for the financial statements included in our Exchange Act Filings.
System Map

[Map of energy infrastructure with various markers indicating different types of facilities such as products pipelines, terminals, transmix facilities, natural gas pipelines, natural gas storage, natural gas processing, Rockies Express Pipeline, Midcontinent Express Pipeline, CO₂ pipelines, CO₂ oil fields, crude oil pipelines, petroleum pipelines, and headquarters.]
Capital Structure

Kinder Morgan Energy Partners

- Market Equity (a) $12.7B
- Debt (b) 7.0B
- Enterprise Value $19.7B
- 2007E EBITDA (c) $2.0B
- 2007E DCF (c) $1.4B

(a) KMP market cap based on 175 million common units (includes 5.3 million Class B units owned by KMI; Class B units are unlisted KMP common units) at a price of $51.23 and 72 million KMR i-shares at a price of $50.65, as of 3-Dec-2007. KMR shares adjusted for 14-Nov-2007 in-kind dividend. KMP units adjusted for recent public offering of approximately 7.1 million common units (includes exercise of the greenshoe).
(b) Debt balance as of 30-Sep-2007, excludes the fair value of interest rate swaps, net of cash.
(c) Original 2007 budget. EBITDA and DCF as defined on slide 3.
Solid Asset Base Generates Stable Fee Income

- **CO₂**
  - 28% CO₂ transport and sales
  - 72% oil production related
  - Production hedged (a):
    - 2007=85% ($35/Bbl)
    - 2008=83% ($44)
    - 2009=71% ($49)
    - 2010=72% ($56)

- **Terminals**
  - 49% Liquids, 51% Bulk
  - Geographic and product diversity
  - 3-4 year average contract life

- **Trans Mountain**

- **KMP 2007 DCF Profile (b)**
  - CO₂ 28%
  - Products Pipelines 25%
  - Terminals 20%
  - Natural Gas Pipelines 25%

- **Products Pipelines**
  - Refinery hub to population center strategy
  - 68% Pipelines
  - 27% Associated Terminals (c)
  - 5% Transmix
  - No commodity price risk

- **Natural Gas Pipelines**
  - 55% Texas Intrastate
  - 45% Rockies
  - Little incidental commodity risk

---

(a) 2007 production based on Kinder Morgan budget; 2008-2011 based on Netherland, Sewell reserve report. Includes heavier NGL components (C4+). Incorporates swaps and puts at strike price net of premium, WTI/WTS spread @ $6-7.00/Bbl.

(b) Original 2007 budget. Segment DCF as defined on slide 3.

(c) Terminals are not FERC regulated except portion of CALNEV.
Consistent Track Record

**Total Distributions (GP + LP) ($mm)**

- **1996**: $17
- **1997**: $30
- **1998**: $153
- **1999**: $198
- **2000**: $333
- **2001**: $548
- **2002**: $701
- **2003**: $827
- **2004**: $978
- **2005**: $1,162
- **2006**: $1,256
- **2007E**: $1,436

- **CAGR = 50%**

**LP Distribution Per Unit (b)**

- **1996**: $0.63
- **1997**: $1.13
- **1998**: $1.30
- **1999**: $1.45
- **2000**: $1.90
- **2001**: $2.20
- **2002**: $2.72
- **2003**: $2.96
- **2004**: $3.20
- **2005**: $3.32
- **2006**: $3.60
- **2007E**: $3.68

- **CAGR = 17%**

**Net Debt to Total Capital (c)**

- **1997**: 47%
- **1998**: 39%
- **1999**: 46%
- **2000**: 46%
- **2001**: 51%
- **2002**: 54%
- **2003**: 52%
- **2004**: 52%
- **2005**: 54%
- **2006**: 57%
- **2007E**:

**Net Debt to EBITDA (c)**

- **1997**: 3.5x
- **1998**: 3.2x
- **1999**: 3.9x
- **2000**: 3.9x
- **2001**: 3.5x
- **2002**: 3.7x
- **2003**: 3.8x
- **2004**: 3.5x
- **2005**: 3.2x
- **2006**: 3.3x
- **2007E**: 3.6x

*Original 2007 budget.*

(a) Includes 2% GP interest.

(b) Declared 4Q distribution annualized (i.e. multiplied by four)

(c) Debt is net of cash and excludes fair value of interest rate swaps. Total capital excludes accumulated other comprehensive loss related to hedges.
Significant Historical Returns

Total Returns, Dec-1996 to Dec-2007 (a)

KMP has returned 1,436% since 31-Dec-1996, compared to 477% and 137% for the Alerian MLP and S&P 500 indices, respectively.

KMP 28% annual return
Alerian 17% annual return
S&P 500 8% annual return

Source: Bloomberg
(a) Total returns calculated on a daily basis through 3-Dec-2007 assuming dividends/distributions reinvested in index/unit.
The Kinder Morgan Strategy

Focus on stable, fee-based assets which are core to the energy infrastructure of growing markets

Increase utilization of assets while controlling costs
- Classic fixed cost businesses with little variable costs
- Improve productivity to drop all top-line growth to bottom line

Leverage economies of scale from incremental acquisitions and expansions
- Reduce needless overhead
- Apply best practices to core operations

Maximize benefit of a unique financial structure which fits with strategy
- MLP avoids double taxation, increasing distributions from high cash flow businesses
- Strong balance sheet allows flexibility when raising capital for acquisitions / expansions
Growth Opportunities

- **Shifting Natural Gas Supply Sources**
  - Rockies
  - LNG
  - Barnett Shale
  - Rockies Express pipeline
  - KM Louisiana pipeline
  - Midcontinent Express pipeline

- **Demographic Growth**
  - CALNEV and East Line products pipeline projects

- **Growing Production from Canadian Oilsands**
  - Trans Mountain pipeline dropdown, TMX1, Edmonton terminal project
  - McElmo Dome, Cortez expansions, SACROC, Yates
  - Future CO₂ sales & transport expansion, incremental production from EOR

- **High Crude Oil Prices**
  - Increased volume at petcoke terminals
  - Acquisition of prilling technology
  - Increased handling of petcoke, application of prilling technology at terminal facilities – U.S. & Canada

- **Growing Coal Imports**
  - Shipyard River, Pier IX terminal expansions

- **Growing Petroleum Product Imports**
  - New York, Houston terminal expansions

- **Increased Use of Heavy Crude**
  - Increased volume at petcoke terminals
  - Acquisition of prilling technology
  - Additional terminal expansions

- **Increased Use of Renewable Fuels**
  - Biodiesel
  - Ethanol
  - Houston biodiesel facility project
  - Houston, Philadelphia terminal expansions, sales of natural gas transport capacity to ethanol producers
  - Additional ethanol/biodiesel storage and blending at terminal facilities
Shifting Natural Gas Supply Sources

### Natural Gas Supply

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production Volumes (Bcf/d)</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rockies Natural Gas (a)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnett Shale Natural Gas (b)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>267%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf Coast LNG Imports (a)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>575%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Rockies production expected to increase 29% 2006-2010 (a)
- Barnett Shale production expected to increase 267% 2006-2010 (b)
- 8.7 Bcf/d of LNG import capacity currently under construction on Gulf Coast (c)

(a) Source: Wood Mackenzie
(b) Source: Citigroup
(c) Source: FERC
Newbuild Natural Gas Pipelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipeline</th>
<th>KM Cost ($mm)</th>
<th>Capacity (Bcf/d)</th>
<th>In-service</th>
<th>Term of Contracts</th>
<th>Ownership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rockies Express Pipeline</td>
<td>$2,200</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2007-2009</td>
<td>10 yrs</td>
<td>KMP 50% (a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midcontinent Express Pipeline</td>
<td>$625</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>10 yrs</td>
<td>SRE 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM Louisiana Pipeline</td>
<td>$517</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>20 yrs</td>
<td>ETP 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$3,342</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KMP 100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Upon completion of construction.
Growing Crude Production from Canadian Oilsands

**WCSB Crude Production by Type (a)**

- Oilsands ~11% CAGR ’06-’15
- Condensate
- Conventional Heavy
- Conventional Light
- Oilsands - LC

**Heavy/Light Crude Differential (b)**

WTI vs. Mayan Crude Spot Price ($/Bbl)

**Washington State Refinery Capacity (c)**

- Canadian crude through Trans Mountain 16% (~100 MBbl/d)
- Washington state refiners only use ~100,000 Bbl/d of Canadian crude
- Announced upgrade: COP – Coker Ferndale, WA 2012-2015

**ANS Production in Decline (d)**

ANS Crude Oil Production M(MBbl/d)

---

(a) Source: National Energy Board  
(b) Sources: Bloomberg  
(c) Sources: Dominion Bond Rating Service, Company reports  
(d) Sources: EIA, CIBC
Increased Use of Heavy Crude

- Crude supply is heavier, more sour
- Heavier, sour crude produces more residue
  - Petcoke
  - Sulfur

(a) Source: Jacobs Consultancy
(b) Source: PentaSul
## Current Projects

*$6.5 Billion In Projects Over Next 4 Years*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Estimated Project Cost ($mm)</th>
<th>Expected Completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rockies Express</td>
<td>$2,200 (a)</td>
<td>2007-2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midcontinent Express</td>
<td>625 (a)</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KM Louisiana Pipeline</td>
<td>517</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALNEV expansion</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Line expansion</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans Mountain dropdown</td>
<td>550 (b)</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans Mountain – TMX1</td>
<td>470 (c)</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$ – SACROC and Yates</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>2007-2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$ – source and transport</td>
<td>120 (a)</td>
<td>2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other identified projects</td>
<td>500 (d)</td>
<td>2007-2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,512</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$11 Billion in Capital Invested, 1998-2006

($ billions)

Total Invested by Year

- Expansion
- Acquisition

Total Invested by Type

- Acquisitions: $7.5
- Expansions: $2.7

Total Invested by Segment

- Products: $1.0
- Natural Gas Pipelines: $1.5
- CO2: $0.4
- Terminals: $0.5

Note: See Appendix to 2007 Analyst Conference presentation for details on calculations.

- * 2007 budget, includes joint ventures.
- (a) Includes Trans Mountain.
## Attractive Returns on Capital

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Segment ROI (a):</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Products Pipelines</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas Pipelines</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminals</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KMP ROI</strong></td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **KMP Return on Equity** | 17.4%  | 19.0%  | 21.9%  | 23.2%  | 25.2%  | 26.6%  | 26.7%  |

Note: See Appendix to 2007 Analyst Conference presentation for details on calculations.

(a) Return on investment. G&A is deducted in calculating the return on investment for KMP, but is not allocated to the segments and therefore not deducted in calculating the segment information.
Solid Balance Sheet

($ millions)

Credit Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L-T Debt Rating</th>
<th>Baa2/BBB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Net Debt / Total Capital (a,b)</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2007 Budget Estimates (c):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Debt / EBITDA</th>
<th>3.6x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBITDA / Interest</td>
<td>5.1x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CP Capacity (b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Bank Credit</th>
<th>$1,850</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding CP</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letters of Credit</td>
<td>454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excess Capacity</td>
<td>$820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Long-term Debt Maturities (b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Debt balance excludes fair value of interest rate swaps and is net of cash. Capital excludes loss/gain from other comprehensive income.
(b) As of 30-Sep-2007.
(c) Original 2007 Budget.
Risks

- **Regulatory**
  - Pacific Products Pipeline FERC/CPUC case
  - Periodic rate reviews
  - Unexpected policy changes

- **CO₂ Crude Oil Production Volumes**

- **Construction Cost Overruns**

- **Environmental**

- **Terrorism**

- **Interest Rates**
  - Approximately 50% floating rate debt
  - Budget assumes flat rates at a level above the current forward curve
  - The full-year impact of a 100-bp increase in rates equates to an approximate $30 million increase in interest expense
Summary

- **Stable Cash Flow**
  - Own assets core to energy infrastructure

- **Internal Growth Opportunities**
  - Critical Mass
  - Well-located assets/favorable demographics

- **Fixed Cost Business**
  - Drop growth to bottom line

- **Unique Structure**
  - Tax Efficient
  - Incentive Fee

- **Management Philosophy**
  - Low-Cost Operator
  - Focused on cash
  - Disciplined Investment

**KMP/KMR:**
- 6-7% Yield
- 8%
- Long-Term Growth